


STATE OF VERMONT
CHITTENDEN SUPERIOR COURT

DOCKET NUMBER: S1231-08 CuC

Hazelwood, Marjorie, et al VS Mughier, Cindy, et al



ENTRY REGARDING MOTION

TITLE OF MOTION: Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order

DATE MOTION FILED: 11/07/08

RESPONSE FILED:

Robert S. DiPalma, Esq. 11/25/08 Defs' response

X GRANTED In Part COMPLIANCE BY _____

DENIED

SCHEDULED FOR HEARING ON: _____ AT _____; TIME ALLOTTED _____
OTHER

① In-person depositions of all named Plaintiffs, ^(in VT) is highly inefficient, overly burdensome, & unnecessary. Counsel shall immediately confer & agree on expedited schedule for telephone deposition of each Plaintiff, no more than 15 minutes each re: AMHA membership status.

Dennis Pearson
JUDGE DENNIS E. PEARSON

11/26/08
DATE

COPIES SENT TO:

Eric A. Poehlmann, Esq.
Robert S. DiPalma, Esq.

② If court is still needed to micro-manage depositions & procedures to be used to ensure adequate reliability, each side shall file proposed "protocol" MLT 12/5/08.

③ Doesn't AMHA have its own membership records? Isn't there some objective source to determine membership status, besides each Plaintiff's say-so? So what really is the purpose of 48 depositions?